

CLASS 9. RIEMANNIAN AND HERMITIAN MANIFOLDS (SEPTEMBER 26)

**Riemannian manifolds.** Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold of dimension  $n$ . Recall that a Riemannian metric on  $M$  is a collection of positive definite symmetric bilinear forms  $g_p: T_{\mathbb{R},p}M \otimes T_{\mathbb{R},p}M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that vary smoothly with  $p \in M$ . In other words, for any pair of smooth vector fields  $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma(U, T_{\mathbb{R}}M)$  on an open subset  $U \subseteq M$ , the real-valued function  $g(\xi, \eta)$  is required to be smooth on  $U$ . In local coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , we define the smooth functions

$$g_{i,j}(x) = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right),$$

and then the  $n \times n$ -matrix  $G(x)$  with those entries is symmetric and positive definite at each point of  $U$ .

*Example 9.1.* On  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we have the Euclidean metric for which  $g_{i,j} = 1$  if  $i = j$ , and 0 otherwise. Since the  $n$ -sphere  $\mathbb{S}^n$  is contained in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , it inherits a Riemannian metric (by noting that  $T_{\mathbb{R},p}\mathbb{S}^n \subseteq T_{\mathbb{R},p}\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  at each point). It is a good exercise to compute the coefficients  $g_{i,j}$  for  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , in the two coordinate charts given by stereographic projection.

On an oriented manifold, the Riemannian metric also determines a differential form in  $A^n(M)$ , called the volume form. Let us first consider the case of a real vector space  $V$  of dimension  $n$ . Recall that the vector space  $\bigwedge^n V$  is one-dimensional, and that an orientation of  $V$  consists in choosing one of the two connected components of  $\bigwedge^n V \setminus \{0\}$  and calling it the positive one. (We may then say that a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  is positive if  $v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n$  lies in that component.) Now suppose that  $V$  is endowed with an inner product  $g: V \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . It induces inner products on each of the spaces  $\bigwedge^k V$ , with the property that

$$g(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k, w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge w_k) = \det(g(v_j, w_k))_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}.$$

This allows us to choose a distinguished generator for  $\bigwedge^n V$ , namely the unique positive element  $\varphi$  with the property that  $g(\varphi, \varphi) = 1$ ; it is usually called the *fundamental element*. To describe it directly, let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be a positively oriented orthonormal basis for  $V$ ; then  $\varphi = e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n$ .

Through the isomorphism  $V \rightarrow V^*$  given by  $v \mapsto g(v, -)$ , the dual space  $V^* = \text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{R})$  also inherits an orientation and an inner product, and we have a fundamental element in  $\bigwedge^n V^*$ . In fact, it is not hard to see that the latter is given by the formula  $g(\varphi, -)$ .

Let  $M$  be an oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ . Then the *volume form* is the unique smooth form  $\text{vol}(g) \in A^n(M)$  whose value at any point  $p \in M$  is the fundamental element in  $\bigwedge^n T_{\mathbb{R},p}^*M$ . If  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are local coordinates on an open subset  $U \subseteq M$ , such that  $\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n$  is a positive basis at each point, then we have

$$(9.2) \quad \text{vol}(g)|_U = \sqrt{\det G(x)} \cdot dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n.$$

We define the *volume* of  $M$  to be  $\text{vol}(M) = \int_M \text{vol}(g)$ ; note that this integral may be infinite if  $M$  is noncompact.

*Example 9.3.* If we let  $M$  be the sphere of radius  $r$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , with the induced Riemannian metric, then  $\text{vol}(M) = 4\pi r^2$ .

**Hermitian linear algebra.** We begin by looking at some linear algebra on a complex vector space  $V$ . To begin with,  $V$  is also a real vector space (of twice the dimension); when considering  $V$  as a real vector space, we use the symbol  $J$  to denote multiplication by  $i$  for clarity.  $J \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(V)$  satisfies  $J \circ J = -\text{id}$ , and contains the information about the original complex structure on  $V$ .

A *Hermitian form* on  $V$  is a map  $h: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear in its first argument, and such that  $h(v_2, v_1) = \overline{h(v_1, v_2)}$  for all  $v_1, v_2 \in V$ . It follows that  $h$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -antilinear in its second argument. We say that  $h$  is *positive definite* if  $h(v, v) > 0$  for every nonzero  $v \in V$ ; note that  $h(v, v) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

It is not hard to verify that if  $h$  is positive definite, then its real part

$$g(v_1, v_2) = \text{Re } h(v_1, v_2) = \frac{1}{2}(h(v_1, v_2) + h(v_2, v_1))$$

defines an inner product on the underlying real vector space;  $h$  is uniquely determined by  $g$ , as a brief calculation shows that  $h(v_1, v_2) = g(v_1, v_2) + ig(v_1, Jv_2)$ . (In fact, this formula defines a Hermitian form iff  $g$  is compatible with  $J$ , in the sense that  $g(Jv_1, Jv_2) = g(v_1, v_2)$  for all  $v_1, v_2 \in V$ .)

Consider next the imaginary part of  $h$ , or

$$\omega(v_1, v_2) = -\text{Im } h(v_1, v_2) = \frac{i}{2}(h(v_1, v_2) - h(v_2, v_1)).$$

It follows from the properties of  $h$  that  $\omega$  is a real bilinear form that is alternating, meaning that  $\omega(v_2, v_1) = -\omega(v_1, v_2)$ . One easily sees that  $\omega(v_1, Jv_2) = g(v_1, v_2)$ ; consequently, an alternating real-valued form  $\omega$  comes from a Hermitian form iff  $\omega(Jv_1, Jv_2) = \omega(v_1, v_2)$  for all  $v_1, v_2 \in V$ ; moreover,  $\omega$  uniquely determines  $h$ .

**Hermitian manifolds.** We now generalize this to complex manifolds. Recall that if  $p \in M$  is a point on a complex manifold, then the composition  $T_{\mathbb{R}, p}M \hookrightarrow T_{\mathbb{C}, p}M \rightarrow T'_pM$  is an isomorphism of real vector spaces. We use this isomorphism to identify the underlying real vector space of  $T'_pM$  with  $T_{\mathbb{R}, p}M$ ; we continue to denote by  $J$  the endomorphism of  $T_{\mathbb{R}, p}M$  induced from multiplication by  $i$ .

**Definition 9.4.** A *Hermitian metric*  $h$  on a complex manifold  $M$  is a collection of positive definite Hermitian forms  $h_p$  on the holomorphic tangent spaces  $T_{\mathbb{C}, p}M$ , whose real parts  $g_p = \text{Re } h_p$  induce a Riemannian metric on the underlying smooth manifold.

On a Hermitian manifold  $(M, h)$ , we thus have a Riemannian metric  $g = \text{Re } h$  and a real-valued differential 2-form  $\omega = -\text{Im } h$ .

We make the definition more concrete by writing down formulas in local holomorphic coordinates  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ . First off, we let  $H$  be the  $n \times n$ -matrix with entries the smooth functions

$$h_{j,k} = h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}\right);$$

at each point,  $H$  is Hermitian-symmetric and positive definite.

To find the Riemannian metric, let  $z_j = x_j + iy_j$ , and recall that, under our identification of  $T_{\mathbb{R}, p}M$  with  $T'_pM$ , the vector field  $\partial/\partial x_j$  corresponds to  $\partial/\partial z_j$ , and  $\partial/\partial y_j$  to  $i \cdot \partial/\partial z_j$ . (From this, we also see that  $J\partial/\partial x_j = \partial/\partial y_j$ .) Thus we have for instance that

$$g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\right) = \text{Re } h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}\right) = \text{Re } h_{j,k},$$

while

$$g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}\right) = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, J \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\right) = \operatorname{Re} h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}, i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}\right) = \operatorname{Im} h_{j,k}.$$

In the basis  $\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n, \partial/\partial y_1, \dots, \partial/\partial y_n$ , the Riemannian metric  $g$  is therefore given by the  $2n \times 2n$ -matrix

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re} H & \operatorname{Im} H \\ -\operatorname{Im} H & \operatorname{Re} H \end{pmatrix},$$

Note that  $G$  is a symmetric matrix, as expected:  $H$  being Hermitian symmetric, it follows that  $\operatorname{Re} H$  is symmetric, while  $-\operatorname{Im} H = H^T$ .

Finally, consider the 2-form  $\omega$ ; we compute that

$$\omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\right) = -\operatorname{Im} h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}\right) = -\operatorname{Im} h_{j,k},$$

while

$$\omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}\right) = -\operatorname{Im} h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}, i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}\right) = \operatorname{Re} h_{j,k}.$$

To make sense of these formulas, let us view  $\omega$  as a complex-valued 2-form by extending it bilinearly to the complexified tangent spaces  $T_{\mathbb{C},p}M$ ; here we have to be careful to distinguish multiplication by  $i$  and the effect of the operator  $J$ . We would now like express  $\omega$  in terms of  $dz_1, \dots, dz_n, d\bar{z}_1, \dots, d\bar{z}_n$ . We compute that

$$\begin{aligned} 4\omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k}\right) &= \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}\right) \\ &= \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\right) - i\omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\right) + i\omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}\right) + \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which, by the above formulas, equals  $-\operatorname{Im} h_{j,k} + i \operatorname{Re} h_{j,k} + i \operatorname{Re} h_{j,k} - \operatorname{Im} h_{j,k} = 2i h_{j,k}$ . Similarly, one proves that  $\omega(\partial/\partial z_j, \partial/\partial z_k) = \omega(\partial/\partial \bar{z}_j, \partial/\partial \bar{z}_k) = 0$ , and so

$$(9.5) \quad \omega = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n h_{j,k} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k.$$

It follows that  $\omega$  is of type  $(1,1)$ ; this justifies calling it the *associated*  $(1,1)$ -form of the metric  $h$ .

*Example 9.6.* Consider  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with the metric in which the  $\partial/\partial z_j$  form a unitary basis; in the notation from above,  $H = \operatorname{id}_n$ . Then  $g$  is the standard Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , and

$$\omega = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j = \sum_{j=1}^n dx_j \wedge dy_j.$$

This is one of the reasons for defining  $\omega = -\operatorname{Im} h$ .

*Example 9.7.* Let  $N \subseteq M$  be a submanifold. For every  $p \in N$ , we have  $T'_p N \subseteq T'_p M$ , and so a Hermitian metric  $h_M$  on  $M$  naturally induces one on  $N$ . If we denote the latter by  $h_N$ , then a brief computation in local coordinates shows that  $\omega_N = i^* \omega_M$ , where  $i: N \rightarrow M$  is the inclusion map.

**The Fubini-Study metric.** We now come to an important example: on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , there is a natural Hermitian metric called the Fubini-Study metric. It will be easiest to describe the metric through its associated  $(1,1)$ -form  $\omega_{FS}$ . Recall that  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is the quotient of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$  by  $\mathbb{C}^*$ , and that the quotient map

$$q: \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$$

is holomorphic. Then  $\omega_{FS}$  is the unique  $(1,1)$ -form on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  whose pullback via the map  $q$  to  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$  is given by the formula

$$(9.8) \quad q^*\omega_{FS} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial\bar{\partial} \log(|z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2).$$

One readily derives formulas in local coordinates: for example, in the chart  $U_0 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  with coordinates  $[1, z_1, \dots, z_n]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{FS}|_{U_0} &= \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial\bar{\partial} \log(1 + |z|^2) \\ &= \frac{i}{2\pi} \left( \frac{1}{1 + |z|^2} \sum_{j=1}^n dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j - \frac{1}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \bar{z}_j z_k dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have set  $|z|^2 = |z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2$ . One can also show in this way that  $\omega_{FS}$  really is a well-defined  $(1,1)$ -form on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . To see this, consider the function

$$f_0(z) = \log(1 + |z|^2) = \log(1 + |z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2)$$

on the open subset  $U_0 \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ . The coordinate transformation to one of the other open subsets  $U_k \cong \mathbb{C}^n$  is given by the rule

$$(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto \left( \frac{1}{z_k}, \frac{z_1}{z_k}, \dots, \frac{z_{k-1}}{z_k}, \frac{z_{k+1}}{z_k}, \dots, \frac{z_n}{z_k} \right).$$

This transforms the function above into

$$\log(1 + |z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2) - \log|z_k|^2 = f_k(z) - \log|z_k|^2,$$

and because  $\partial\bar{\partial}$  kills the second summand, the individual forms  $\partial\bar{\partial}f_k(z)$  glue together into an element of  $A^{1,1}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ . One can read off the coefficients of the associated metric using (9.5), and this shows that we have really defined a metric on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

We note two useful properties of the Fubini-Study metric. The first is its invariance under unitary automorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Suppose that  $A \in U(n+1)$  is a unitary matrix; it defines an automorphism  $f_A$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  by the formula  $[z] \mapsto [Az]$ . Since  $|Az| = |z|$  for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ , we clearly have  $f_A^*\omega_{FS} = \omega_{FS}$ . The unitary group  $U(n+1)$  acts transitively on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , and so the Fubini-Study metric is homogeneous under this action. The second is that  $\omega_{FS}$  is both  $d$ -closed and  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed, and therefore defines cohomology classes in the de Rham cohomology group  $H^2(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathbb{R})$  and in the Dolbeault cohomology group  $H^{1,1}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ . Both cohomology groups are one-dimensional, and the class of  $\omega_{FS}$  is the natural generator. The reason for the normalizing factor  $1/2\pi$  in the definition of the Fubini-Study metric can be found in one of the exercises: on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , we have  $\int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \omega_{FS} = 1$ .